| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|-----------------------------|
| | 09/685,270 | TALCOTT, ADAM R. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | William M. Treat | 2183 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>ALLOW</u> | | |
| (1) William M. Treat. | (3) | |
| (2) Wasif Qureshi. | (4) | , |
| Date of Interview: 31 May 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NONE | | |
| Claims discussed: 36 AND 37 | | • |
| Prior art documents discussed: NONE | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance |
| | | , |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Evaminar/SDE Signature) | /Analisanda Danasa () | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Sig | nature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner requested that applicants make the amendment set forth in the attached examiner's amendment to make the claims more consistent with the Office's policy on an invention on a "computer-readable medium". Applicants' representative agreed to do so..